CANDOUR The British Views-Letter

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol 41, Nos 3 & 4 *Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper* March/April 1989

101 REASONS FOR ABSTENTION IN THE EURO-ELECTION

MARK EWELL opens Euro-Pandora's Box of Rackets

"CORRUPTED both intellectually and financially." That's the Brussels machine according to Sir John Hoskyns in his address to the annual conference of the Institute of Directors on 28th February. New evidence of massive fraud embedded in the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) making Europe a "Mafia-style laughing-stock." The European Council "incoherent" and the Commission muddle-minded on almost everything, unable to sort out the difference between cause and effect in economics. So, it seems the Single Common Market is bound to fail for all the reasons familiar to businessmen. Proper management response, concludes Sir John, would be to blow the whistle and start all over again. [No! Don't start at all! - Editor]

Candour has always believed in miracles. Nevertheless, some of our readers will be rubbing their eyes in astonishment at this veritable nuclear explosion in British politics, triggered off, not by some veteran anti-Marketeer, but by the Director General of a hitherto reputable business organisation unsullied by any anti-Establishment taint. Nay, by a man who not so long ago led the Prime Minister's Policy Unit for three years.

Differing Reactions

Some differing reactions at the centre of the fire-ball. Lord Plumb, President of the Euro Parliament, exploded with fury at this "arrant nonsense" and at once resigned from the Institute, literally tearing up his membership card it was rumoured. Lord Young, on the other hand, kept his cool, though later he accused Sir John of rudeness, of raising "bogies" and getting his facts wrong. Sir Leon Brittan, our newly appointed European Commissioner, dismissed Sir John's outburst as "purely one man's views." [1]

Had Sir Leon been present, of course, he would have noted that the 3,000 delegates were not only surprised but "delighted" at Sir John's onslaught on the 1992 programme. [2] Furthermore, Sir Peter Walters, Institute President and Chairman of no less a body than British Petroleum, was said to be fully behind Sir John. Worse still for poor Sir Leon's judgement, officials said that letters and messages arriving at the Institute's London headquarters were ten to one in support of Sir John. [3]

The immediate reaction of Brussels was not unexpected. More incandescence. The 17 Commissioners put their heads together and considered taking legal action for defamation through the British Courts. On second thoughts, they announced later that no further action was planned. Such a pity - the British public have been denied the treat of their lives!

Now, in chronicling these events we must be scrupulously fair to the Brussels establishment. **Candour** must live up to its name. There is one EEC institution that must be exonerated from the charge of incompetence and corruption - the Court of Auditors. Year after year, this Court's reports have drawn attention to scandalous practices and irregularities and non-existent budget control. Its 1982 report, for instance, was especially rich in examples of malpractices: exorbitant sums paid out for work never done, or done unnecessarily; advance payments of £104,000 to one Euro MP alone; dozens of safe boxes full of cash kept on the floor of offices to cope with the immediate needs of the MEPS (and no questions asked). At the lowliest level, one employee was paid £4,500 (1982 wage level) to spend just 25 hours a week collecting dirty tea and coffee cups.

As Alexander Pope once observed, "Just as the twig is bent, the tree's inclin'd," so now the bent Common Market tree is costing the EEC taxpayers £6 billion to prop up. The latest Court of Auditors' report on Community finances for the year 1987 reveals an escalation in export and other swindles of mind-blowing proportions. In fact, the Prime Minister is said to be so worried that she is to spearhead a drive against EEC fraud. She fears that British taxpayers are funding a third of the fraud to the tune of £2 billion, sizeable proceeds being syphoned off to the Mafia and the IRA. [4] But Sir Peter Brooke, our Paymaster General, has told the House of Commons that by its nature the scale of the CAP's fraud is impossible to quantify. [5]

Not A Snowball's Chance In Hell!

For how can you cope with all the twists and turns, all the evasive and exploitative tricks, all the rich inventive genius for rip-offs that membership has taught us take place in a system tailor-made for fraud? All the complexities of import and export subsidies (a thousand different regulations for beef alone), the quotas, the monetary compensation amounts, the vagaries of the green currencies, the forged import papers and the phoney export ones?

True, the Euro mountain range undulates from time to time as when in January of this year the Commission boasted that the butter mountain had been levelled. The cost? £2.3 billion to be exact. Butter sold off to the Soviet Union at roughly 6p a pound, to be turned into industrial lubricant to grease the wheels of Soviet industry and Soviet tanks. The cost of the mountain range, however, remains the same at two thirds of the EEC budget in any one year. But others go up, to wit, the beef mountain at present. Cattle walk-abouts between Eire and Northern Ireland are as popular as ever, with the well-practised, not to say weary, beasts collecting subsidies as they go. Where and when necessary, the animals (sheep and pigs too) are spirited across the borders by IRA drovers who know how to take advantage of 240 unauthorised crossings. <u>En passant</u>, we just can't resist mentioning the legendary case of the customs officers who checked a cattle truck and found nothing but a tape recorder playing "mooing" sounds. (A stroke of genius, that!)

A more sophisticated scandal took place less than a couple of years ago when Britain became one of Europe's leading exporters of olive oil. Inferior Spanish oil was offloaded at the Thames Terminal in Dagenham, kept there for 24 hours to comply with EEC regulations, then shipped out to Italy where it was labelled "Produce of Italy" and

exported to food enthusiasts in California. [6] Not quite as cruel, perhaps, as the selling of cut price sugar to impoverished Jamaica (of all places!), the Euro exporter enjoying a subsidy of £240 a tonne.

More Of The Same

Most numerous, because the easiest and simplest, have been the cases of false paper claims made: that of the French headmaster who in 1984 obtained £700,000 in school milk subsidies for 40,000 non-existent children; of the Calabrian farmers who received compensatory payments amounting to £8 million a year for no peaches grown; of the Italian couple who defrauded the EEC of another £8 million through "exquisitely falsified" documents tapping subsidies for 290,000 head of cattle over the last five years when there are only 35,000 on the island. [7]

And now, of course, under the recently introduced "set aside" scheme to reduce unwanted harvests, the Mafia will be able to claim subsidies for not producing the wine and tomatoes they never intend to produce in the first place! Even a Euro MP, Mr Richard Cottrell, concedes that no amount of surveillance will solve the problems of the CAP simply because some EEC states turn a blind eye to the frauds as amatter of policy. They see in them a convenient social welfare system for their rural communities paid for by somebody else. [8]

There are unbelievable expenses run up by the bureaucrats in many other ways, too. There are well over 250 quangos with unlimited demands on the Euro purse. Millions of Euro documents are churned out annually, many of them in incomprehensible obscuranto and left unread. There are Euro Parliament jaunts all over the world, hundreds of Eurocrats flying first class with their retinues of interpreters and secretaries. Bumper salaries, bumper pensions, golden handshakes and golden farewells. Diamond disability pensions providing up to 70% of normal salaries for life are being claimed by 34% of EEC office staffs (slipping off all those mountains is an ever present hazard!). Untold millions are drained off by legal expenses like being taken to the Euro Court for infringements of Euro rules and regulations.

As a parting shot, we mustn't forget the little matter of Euro pride in sport, when in 1985 a grateful EEC acclaimed Ivan Lendl on his third victory in five years in the European Champions' Championship by presenting him with a £500,000 diamond studded tennis racquet. [9] Oh, and then there was the case of... no, no, agreed, you can't take any more! Anyway, enough has been shown to prove that between the United Kingdom and the EEC there has to be a parting of the ways. As a nation, our sanity is at stake.

References

[1] **The Times**, 4/3/89
[2] **Daily Telegraph**, 1/3/89
[3] **Daily Telegrah**, 4/3/89
[4] **The Times**, 7/2/89
[5] **The Times**, 3/3/89
[6] **The Times**, 30/5/87
[7] **Daily Telegraph**, 3/3/89
[8] **The Times**, 8/2/89
[9] **Daily Telegraph**, 7/11/85

TO TAKE ANY PART IN THE FORTHCOMING ELECTIONS FOR THE SO-CALLED EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT, EVEN BY SPOILING A VOTE, IS AN ACT OF SEDITION. ACCORDINGLY WE URGE ALL PATRIOTS TO TAKE BRITISH ACTION NEXT MONTH AND A B S T A I N AND URGE OTHERS TO A B S T A I N !

IF YOU HAVE EYES TO SEE - THEN SEE!

FRANK BROOKES looks at some of the ironies of the Rushdie affair

ONE benefit that may accrue from the Salman Rushdie affair is that those simple-minded multi-culturalists in our society just might have had their eyes opened. If they have, they might have caught a glimpse of what a Muslim Britain has to offer. To forestall those who may point out that the threat to Rushdie comes from overseas it must be borne in mind that those Muslims in this country who have urged their followers to stay within the law are staying within a law that now is. Sufficient Muslims in a 'British' (?) Parliament could change all that.

Unfortunately, I very much doubt if the woolly thinkers of Britain will actually draw any conclusions from it once the affair has died out of the news. The sad fact is that we British are at present a spineless nation whose main idea is to live a comfortable life and not think about how that life might drastically change precisely because of the criminal politicians and Church leaders' encouragement of this 'multi-cultural society'.

Sunday Telegraph Editor, Peregrine Worsthorne, apart from being an alert and outspoken journalist by today's standards, is one of the very few such people in our society occasionally to write of multi-racial/ multi-cultural Britain as though it is not necessarily the good idea that our deceitful leaders tell us it is. Alas, he lacks the courage of a John Tyndall when it comes to saying what can be done about it.

Hypocrisy Of The Race Relations Industry

In his leader of 19 February, 1989, Worsthorne castigates the liberal element for a hypocritical stance that has long since been recognised by political movements such as the British National Party and the National Front - namely, that there is one standard of judgement for them and quite another for the darlings of multi-racialism. "Islamic fundamentalism is rapidly growing into a much bigger threat of violence and intolerance than anything emanating from, say, the National Front," Mr Worsthorne pointed out.

Let us hope that the weight of that statement falls heavily on the minds of those **Telegraph** readers who both want to appear 'respectable' in our political climate and yet at the same time wish that 'somebody else' (who, one wonders?) would 'do something about it.' That cowardly attitude unfortunately characterises the vast majority of Whites in our land: they see the dangers, they murmur sotto voce but actually do absolutely nothing! They don't even join or support the only political groups even willing to try. In a 'democracy' such as ours we certainly thoroughly deserve the tenth-rate politicians a professional talking class foists upon us!

Worsthorne dishes out the medicine sparing the liberal establishment little. Should a member of the NF "insult" a black man's skin, he says, the liberals "have no scruples about hauling him before the courts for prosecution." It is a very different matter, though, when an award-winning novelist insults the Koran. "His right to free speech, unlike that of the member of the National Front, must on no account be infringed," writes Worsthorne.

Well, some of us have been well aware of this state of affairs for years now, but it is good to see it brought out to a much wider public in the leader page of a major national Sunday newspaper. Worsthorne has the courage and honesty to go much farther than most journalists dare do (I write as a retired journalist) in highlighting the evil state of repressive fear that governs free political expression in our land.

"Either," he accuses, "we should sweep the Race Relations Act aside as an undesirable infringement of free speech or we should extend its provisions so as to give the Moslem community similar legal protection in the case of attacks on their religion. What the liberals should not be allowed to get away with is legal protection of their sacred cows - ethnicity, feminism, etc. - but not for other peoples." Well said! What a pity it is, though, that people like John Tyndall cannot get a similar platform to the one that Mr Worsthorne has access to!

Free Speech Stifled

The **Telegraph** Editor rightly points out that so far all the weight of bearing a multi-racial and multi-cultural society has been borne by "ordinary people [he could have said White people] who have had to curb their tongues [that <u>really</u> says it, as there is <u>no</u> freedom of speech here]." Now, he says, the "liberal literati" will have to curb their pens. How true; but alas Mr Worsthorne's outspokenness runs out to a feeble end of his otherwise excellent leader: "The liberals have made their multi-cultural bed. Now they must lie on it, along with the rest of us," he concludes.

<u>Must</u> we, though? For the average householder who realises he has got a thoroughly rotten and uncomfortable bed, the real answer is to get rid of it! Got it, Perry?

THE MAN OF CANT

THE M.P. For Bexley
In the County of Kent
Waxes indignant
Against Government;
The Garden of England
Is now under threat
By French iron-horses
From the Channel ill-met.

The route to be trampled
Has considered choice,
Some 'four' devastations
But none to rejoice!
The M.P. for Bexley
Makes march and protest
Against the intrusion
On land so well blessed.

Against despoilation
Of village and vale,
Destruction of homesteads
To suit British Rail;
Against all the wishes
Of residents bent
On the peace and quiet
Of unsullied Kent.

But 'four's' an illusion,
There's 'one' in the pipe
To tranquilise protests
When time is ripe.
Then M.P. for Bexley
Makes shift to foresee
The 'fifth' choice -
like Hobson's -
Is mete to agree.

Like the Commonest cant
For The Market before
The Right Hon. M.P.
Is for Euro-encore
And 'Environment' ranks
About 'second class'
With the Former P.M.
Who had 'Sovereignty' pass!

B.E. BIGGS

TAPES FROM FOREST HOUSE. If it's truth you want, it's candid cassettes you need! Send 2x14p stamps to Candour Research Department, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants for our new list.

CUT AND THRUST

JANUS offers candid comment on news from home and abroad

THE "artist" who turned human foetuses into a pair of earrings is to challenge a court's decision to fine him £500. One Rick Gibson, of Eton Rise, Leytonstone, was found guilty of outraging public decency when he and a fellow skunk, who poses as curator of a London art gallery, displayed a "sculpture" which had freeze-dried three or four-month-old foetuses hanging from its ears. Both men are now reported to be intending to appeal to the European Court of Human Rights over the court's judgement. It is nice that at least they have been found guilty and fined a little. No legal outrage is directed at the abortionist doctors, nurses and mothers who made such a sick manifestation of "art" possible.

ANOTHER "point of view", of course, would be that such adornments are rather smart for the trendy, liberated woman, with extra chic if the foetuses were those of her own children, aborted at the same age so as to match in size. Still extra cachet would accrue if the pair of foetus-earrings were styled from the woman's aborted twins. Am I being outrageous? Sorry, but surely anything less falls short of the much vaunted "woman's right to choose".

WHAT would Denis Skinner MP think of it all? Certainly the Beast of Bolsover's filibuster in the House of Commons, when he talked non-stop for three hours, managed to kill a debate on the latest anti-abortion bill. What a splendid example of what is called Democracy at work! But should not this tactic be improved on when "reactionary" legislation is presented? What is to prevent a mechanical MP (as far as I know there is no law which says a Member must be a human being, which probably explains some oddities) from rising to speak at the opening of Parliament and continuing without cessation for five years or until Her Majesty chooses to dissolve Parliament? Indeed, it might be an improvement on the present system!

THE coming shortage of young people, we are told, is likely to affect recruitment to the police, nursing profession and the army. Indeed, the army is already under strength and is likely to remain so. At the same time there are disquieting rumours that the Brigade of Gurkhas is to be reduced in strength or even abolished. Why we should even consider dispensing with 8,000 of the best soldiers in the world, I cannot imagine; it seems rather peculiar. Possibly when we are fully in Europe we need not worry as any shortages will be made by enlisting Turks, Algerians or a hundred-and-one other species of gast-arbeiter.

AS for the predicted difficulties in caring for the increased numbers of old people in the future, it would seem to be reasonable to encourage an increase in the numbers of indigenous British children born. Far from it: the family allowance has not been increased for the second year running, in contrast to abortion subsidies, of course, which appear to be on the increase. It seems to be a short-sighted view, but perhaps the politicians responsible will be comfortably off in their old age, no matter what happens to the less fortunate.

ALTHOUGH, most unusually, justice has been done in the Viraj Mendis case, there are a couple of unanswered queries. Why did it take ten

years to deport him? He came from Ceylon originally for three years as a student and should have left when his studies were finished (apparently the blockhead didn't pass his exams!). Also, it is estimated that he claimed supplementary benefit of £6,000 from 1980 to 1984; how can an illegal immigrant swindle that sort of sum out of the taxpayer? Did Mendis give misleading information to the DHSS or is there no investigation at all into claimants nowadays? So now Comrade Mendis' backside has been firmly planted onto his native soil, and he hasn't been shot, imprisoned or tortured as he had claimed. Indeed, he is staying at an hotel (who pays, I wonder?) and threatens to write a book about his experiences at the hands of the brutal British authorities. What else can he do to save his face, and those of the clacking liberals who so volubly supported him? They've all been deprived of the satisfaction of knowing that he was right, dead right, about his fate.

BY diktat of the European Community, shops and stalls must abandon pounds and ounces in favour of metric weights by 1994. Small shops may face a bill of £600-750 for converting scales and other equipment, yet this and the fact that nobody here actually wants further metrication is regarded by Brussels as irrelevant. I suggest the enterprising shopkeeper gets round such bureaucratic tomfoolery by packaging up his spuds or whatever in bags of 0.4536 of a kilogram and simply calls them pounds. He would be happy, his customers would be happy and the busybodies of the EC would be as content as they're ever likely to be! Incidentally, don't the Brussels bureaucrats seem to have a great deal in common with the hippies of Stonehenge fame? They wander from one place to another, they are undesirable, they leave their rubbish (whether bottles or filth on the one hand or unwanted laws and regulations on the other), and they are a substantial charge on the public funds.

ANOTHER favourite target of the Boys from Brussels is the British pinta. I suppose they want to get rid of it because our system of home milk delivery is the envy of the world. The friendly milkman is the best social worker we have and costs the taxpayer nothing. He is also very friendly to the environment, delivering a glass bottle which is made from readily available material and can be used many times. The supermarkets, where we would have to obtain our milk in the event of a Euro-ban on the milkman, use a paper or plastic container, which is a wasteful use of trees and energy, cannot be re-used and adds to the loads of rubbish. In fact, Euro-ban or no Euro-ban, we should boycott the alien-owned supermarkets. All this talk about British Action is worthless unless each and every patriot is prepared to wage an economic war against the forces destroying our society. Let's put our money where our mouths are and support the small traders.

THE Students' Union at Cardiff University has objected to a "sexist and typically male" figure used in advertising by a union officer. This macho figure was actually the cartoon character, Postman Pat - an obvious cross between Tarzan of the Apes and Jack the Ripper. Another one these cranks objected to was a rabbit with long hair and eyelashes, which was "obviously a sexist portrayal of a woman" and homosexual students could feel "alienated" by male and female rabbits! Most normal people would find all this hilarious were it not for the fact that the movers behind such childish and idiotic statements are presumably among the more intelligent sections of the population and may well hold positions of responsibility later in life. Why on earth are they being kept at public expense when like as not they'd be better catered for in homes for the mentally sub-normal?

THE CHILD HATERS

NOEL HUNT laments the lack of a competitive ethos in our schools

A RECENT press report informs us that the Association of Christian Teachers is opposed to any form of competition in school life. The Association regrets the Government's "enthusiasm for competition" and the gentle souls comprising its members fear that Government policy will make children "obsessively competitive". They are concerned too - and here one sees the egalitarian obsession appearing again - that the policy of competition will increase the problems of what are described as "less academic schools". In other words, they fear that scholars may eventually be graded on scholastic ability; worse, they fear that under such a system merit would be acknowledged and rewarded.

We learn that the ideal school, as seen by the Christian Teachers, exists in Nottinghamshire. In this school there is no competition, either within the school itself or with neighbouring schools. There is no system of rewards or punishments, no reward for success, no penalty for failure, nor is there any structure of rank or hierarchy among the pupils.

Loser Mentality

There can be no doubt that most members of the Association are sincere and committed Christians. One accepts implicitly that they believe sincerely - though mistakenly - that the perfect Christian is the born loser, never competing for anything at all. The sincerity of these teachers is not in doubt. What <u>is</u> in doubt is whether people holding such beliefs should be in charge of our children in the world today or indeed any day. Equally, there can be little doubt that concealed behind these sincere, kindly and well-meaning individuals who truly believe in non-competition there are a few hard-eyed and cynical realists who do not wish our people well. These evil men manipulate such sincere beliefs to our grave disadvantage. They are guilty, too, of an act of appalling cruelty to our children by teaching them that it is wrong to strive and to achieve.

Although it is certain that the majority of the members of the Association sincerely believe what they profess, one is perhaps not being unduly cynical in feeling sure that there are as well a fair number who joined because they know a soft option when they see one. For the lazy, the incompetent, the semi-literate among the teachers - and recent reports show that there are plenty of them - such a cloak of belief must be most convenient. With no competition, no rank, no grades based on achievement, no penalty for failure, one's own short-comings will never come to light. The incompetent teacher can coast comfortably towards his index-linked pension while doing the absolute minimum of work and at the same time enjoying the kudos and spiritual smugness flowing from one's claim to be a sincere Christian.

Competition A Fact Of Life

One of the main purposes of a school is to turn out children capable of making their way in the world in which they are to live. Even in Britain, life becomes daily more competitive as the nation slowly throws off the dead hand of socialistic attempts to make competition in industry unthinkable. Today, even long-serving trade unionists are, to their horror, being compelled to compete, to earn their wages or to join the dole queues. Daily we are warned that 1992 is fast approaching, and that we must become more competitive if we are to survive in Europe. If this is so - and it undoubtedly is so - why are we teaching our children that it is wrong to compete; that it is right to be a loser every time; that it is wrong to do one's best and be rewarded for doing so; right that the norm throughout the nation in every aspect of its life should be that of the slob?

Our educational system is dominated by a small, vocal and very well organised group of fashionable trendies who have succeeded in imposing their vision of the world as the world is <u>not</u> on our edu-

cational policy-makers. As a result, we have a generation of children who have never learned to stand up for themselves, or to see a goal and set a course for it. They are vitually unemployable. This view prevails in English educational circles. Those who persist in pointing out that life is real, life is earnest, are regarded with amused scorn and are derided as quaintly out-moded and "reactionary" extremists.

Yet there is not another country in Europe so lunatic as to teach its children that competition is wrong. Only the English allow this fearful wrong to be inflicted on their children. French, German and Dutch children, not to mention the rest of Europe, compete in their schools from the day on which they first enter a classroom. In the USSR special schools exist for the gifted children, whence Russia intends to draw its future elite ruling class. We alone insist that it is right to turn out young people who cannot even hold their own in their own country.

Impediment To One World

The earnest, well-meaning and rather silly people wqho dominate educational theory in Britain are no doubt sincere. Equally without doubt, there are behind them a few hard-eyed authoritarians who see clearly that a racially homogeneous bloc of Whites, all vigorously competitive, would be a most awkward stumbling block on the road to World Government. As a racial group we are by nature instinctively and intensely competitive, to the horror and dismay of other races. Our society is based on rank and hierarchy, achieved by competition among ourselves from our earliest days. Why then does it suddenly happen that we, and we alone of all races, are being told that we must teach our children that they must never, ever compete with others? Some will, of course, hold that this teaching "just happens". Others know well that it is being made to happen, and not with our interests in mind.

None of our leaders ever ask, nor are we ever told, whether a similar abhorrence of competition is being instilled into the brown and yellow children of the world. It is unnecessary to attempt to make the black races think in terms of not providing serious competition in a technological and elitist society such as the West. Yet the press frequently carries reports of the remorseless pressure under which small Japanese and Chinese children labour from the day on which they enter school. Muslim, Hindu and Bangladeshi all know that life is a ruthless struggle; they know too that England is full of corner shops which can be bought up and used to make a successful living without any struggle with the locals.

An enormous, well-planned and well-hidden effort is under way to move the whole globe towards a World Government. The European Community, Comecon and similar groupings are merely steps towards ultimate rule of the world under a transformed United Nations. The views of the Association of Christian Teachers, and others of similar belief, on the need to veto struggle and competition are admirably designed, in their own modest way, to produce dutiful helots to serve in a World State.

MAN IS WHAT HE DRINKS

RECENT media reports have told of the affair in Cornwall of 20 tons of aluminium sulphate being dumped in the wrong place into the water at a water-works by labourers. As if anywhere in drinking water wasn't the wrong place! Compensation is having to be paid to people in the district who were made sick - that is, acutely sick to the extent of knowing it and going for treatment. The same media tell us that fluoride is so safe to have in our water and that we can trust the water people to add it properly, one part in a million. We don't know if it makes it better or worse by comparison with fluoride that the aluminium sulphate is added only for cosmetic purposes. It's funny, too, that today we're being told that aluminium seems to be a possible cause of senile dimentia, the element being found in unusually high concentration in sufferer's brain cells. Meanwhile, the water authorities continue bunging fluoride into people's water across ever-wider parts of the country.

"THOUGHT AFAR OFF"

DON BELL writes from America on the latest menace of the money power

At about the same time that "our fathers brought forth on this continent, a new nation," in the Old World, Meyer Amschel Rothschild was explaining his rise in political power in these words: "Permit me to control the money of a nation, and I care not who makes its laws." These words were as words of gold to the rising international banking coterie, which proceeded to prove Rothschild's words by establishing central banks in all the industrial and mercantile nations of the world, including the eventual creation of the banker-controlled and unConstitutional Federal Reserve System, which cares not who makes our laws in the United States.

Having established central banks within nations, the next step was to go international. At the end of WWI the coterie created the Swiss-based Bank of International Settlements, which was to settle between nations, debts resulting from the war. Then at the end of WWII the international bankers, with the aid of a few Fabian and Communist operatives, established a number of international banks. Chief among them were the International Monetary Fund (IMF), which specializes in controlling rates of exchange between various national currencies, and lending money at low interest to developing nations; the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (World Bank), which is of great aid to Multinational Corporations interested in taking over the resources of undeveloped nations through reconstruction and development; the Export-Import Bank, and various and sundry Regional World Banks; all financed at taxpayers' expense.

Ecu - Sign Of Things To Come

All of this control of money (or the equivalent thereof) having been accomplished with little or no important resistance, then came plans for the next step: the creation of a universal currency, to be controlled by Computerbanks. The creation of the European Ecu, the expansion of the Japanese Yen, and the demotion of the US Dollar all seem to be a part of this plan. And the long-promised and nervously awaited but never realized issuance of a new paper currency in the US also seems to be but a method of keeping people confused while plans for a world currency in a cashless society, are developed.

The final step in this World Society which is called the New World Order, would be the creation of ID Debit Cards to be used instead of money. Already having established control over the moneys of nations, this final step would provide control over individuals who are being prepared to populate the New World Order.

The latest major attempt to create such a National ID Card occurred recently in Australia. This card would contain "along with an ID number.... finger printing of all citizens....and a massive computer center created to handle the input. It was called the Deaken Center in Canberra, where it is said the Australian government interlinked the computers of all govern-

ment departments, for purposes of national surveillance of all citizens....so far the Aussies have defeated the Combine every step of the way." (Quoted from Patterson's "Monthly Lesson in Criminal Politics" for December, 1988. POBox 37812, Cincinnati, OH 45222. $187.50 per year).

While the National ID Card was being promoted for Australia, here in the United States the Cashless Debit Card was being developed and tested. John Lyke reports: "As we move into the new year, the march against America's financial privacy continues. The US Department of Agriculture has selected 30,000 households in Minnesota, Arizona and New Mexico to receive new plastic magnetic debit cards in place of USDA Food Stamps. The cards will be accepted at grocery store checkout counters equipped with computer terminals that will subtract all purchases from the family's total monthly food stamp allotment." (Lyke Publications, POBox 290, Glenview, IL 60025. Monthly, $77 per year). Food stamps are distributed to some 19 million families in America. Here, then, is a ready-made testing ground for the Cashless Debit Card which is intended finally to replace cash and personal checks, with the individual's financial status being an entry in a Computerbank. If this testing of the ID Debit Cards on 30,000 welfare recipients proves successful, expect the middle income families (the bourgeoisie) to come next.

Socialism - Whether You Like It Or Not

Control of money, or the control of credits and debits by computer, as in a cashless society, is essential if the Elitist Syndicate is to establish and perpetuate (for a thousand years?) its New World Order. H. van Riessen in his book The Society of the Future insists that "an elite is among us, not only on the other side of the iron curtain. This elite would insure the happiness of the masses by bridling them for their own good, if need be." Fabian Socialist George Bernard Shaw was more explicit in his book, The intelligent Woman's Guide to Socialism, in which he wrote: "I also made it quite clear that Socialism means equality of income or nothing, and under Socialism you would not be allowed to be poor. You would be forcibly fed, clothed, lodged, taught, and employed whether you liked it or not. If it were discovered that you had not the character and industry enough to be worth all this trouble, you might be executed in a kindly manner, but whilst you were permitted to live, you would have to live well." Remember that the New World Order is a Socialist World Order and while the control of money or its equivalent is important to the Elitist Syndicate, it is not enough. In his book The Brave New World Fabian Socialist Aldous Huxley proposed that controlled procreation (test tube birthing and pre-birth conditioning), plus controlled education and specially prepared environment should be sufficient to make people content and even happy. But Socialist George Orwell, in 1984, knew better. His leading character, Winston, rebels against the supposedly utopian system and thinks he can live and love despite Big Brother's totalitarian controllers because "They cannot get inside you." But after his capture and treatment by the "Ministry of Love," he says, "But they could get inside you." And two times two becomes five, not because he believes it or doesn't believe it, but

because Big Brother says so. The "Thought Police" have made him spineless.

Conclusion: control of money is essential but insufficient; the agents of the Elitist Syndicate must also know what you are doing at all times, what you are thinking, whether you hate or love, and has God been expunged from your soul (if that were possible). Therefore, to make the Elitist Syndicate's New World Order endure, there must be established a spy network to check a person's very actions and thoughts. In the 139th Psalm David Says: "O Lord, Thou hast searched me, and known me. Thou knowest my downsitting and mine uprising, thou understandest my thought afar off....Whither shall I flee from thy presence?" By contrast, in The New World Order such a Psalm must be addressed to Caesar and not to God, even as in the Roman World Order when Jesus walked and died so we might live in a Kingdom not of this world.

For Caesar (Government controlled by an Elitist Syndicate) to check on one's comings and goings, to understand a person's "thought afar off" would require, as Orwell notes, a "Thought Police." With modern scientific and technological advances in communication and observation, such policing has become possible. Orwell's **1984** has become all too real in this respect. Governmental eavesdropping and monitoring of commercial, political and private affairs is no longer the fictional monster Orwell wrote of, but a real and dangerous threat to everyone. During WWII it was necessary to read codes, intercept messages, and monitor enemy actions and movements. But at the end of the war, the practice continued and expanded to include the monitoring of friends as well as foes. An ultra-secret National Reconnaisance Office was established and tied in with similar surveillance systems in the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and other allied nations, through a secret treaty codenamed UKUSA. Under a section codenamed SIGINT, it has operating stations on Attu Island; in Adelphi, MD; Camp Le Jeune, NC; Guantanamo Bay, Cuba; Communist China; Japan; Turkey; New Zealand; Deakin Center in Canberra, Australia and an unknown number of stations in other nations. An integral part of UKUSA is Project P415 (P for People), which has facilities to tap into all communications networks, telephone lines, FAX messages, mail, etc.

No Hiding Place

"The following are extracts from Peter Sawyer's Inside News, POBox 322, Mount Beauty, 3699, Australia: "As many of you will be aware, around the turn of the century, a wonderful 'humanitarian' by the name of Rothschild, then head of the Bank of England, packed a fellow by the name of Warburg off to America, to help the Yanks set up their wonderful, humanitarian 'Federal Reserve Board.' Warburg, also well known as a great humanitarian, had something of an entourage with him. Presumably these too were all humanitarians, because having assisted in laying the groundwork for the establishment of the wonderful FED, to the eternal benefit of the Americans, a group of them, along with some of their American humanitarian counterparts, made their way to Chicago, to 444 Michigan Ave. and set up another organisation, the National Safety Council. This was

in 1913 and they are still there today. As in Australia, the American NSC has a very respectable 'front,' and a very mysterious background....In 1931 the NSC expanded its operations to Australia....We have a secretive organization of upwards of 5,000 highly trained men....They are an offshoot of the same people that established the American Federal Reserve Board, and they are now linked with an organisation that appears to be a 'front' for the CIA. This organisation, in turn, appears to be the high-technology 'think-tank for the NSA. The NSA in turn is plugged into Australia's communications network at Deakin, Canberra....Like Santa Claus, they probably already know who's been naughty and who has been nice. Presumably, all that is left is for the 'event' that will allow the 'Government' to declare some kind of 'emergency'....After that, you will not be able to 'go bush' or hide; they already have the technology to find you, and they practice their techniques every time we have a bushfire. All totally above the law as you and I would understand it. No appeals, no courts, no warrants....It's reasonably safe to assume that much the same exists in America, so there'll be no help from there."

Birds Of The Same Feather

How right you are, Peter Sawyer. Our government has spent so much time, energy, resource and money helping enemy countries that there's little left over to help friends. Yes, your problems and ours are much the same. You speak of the "event" that would spark a takeover. In our Federal Register is a set of Executive Orders calling for the installation of a Bureaucratic Dictatorship whenever the words "National Emergency" are declared by a President. We, too, await the distribution of individual ID Debit Cards. Only difference: You defeated that scheme in its first attempt. We wouldn't have the strength with a liberal legislature in power. You mention the FED. Some of us have spent years trying to get Congress to revoke the Federal Reserve Act; we can't even get a public audit of that private corporation. It's said that because of freedom-seekers from tortured countries, you have an immigration problem. So have we because of our government's handling of once friendly Caribbean and South American governments. You refer to your Hawke as a Fabian Prime Minister. Well, our Quayle is an Eastern Establishment fledgling. Both are really birds of the same feather. You Aussies and we Americans ignored the advice given by the patriot John Philpot Curran about the time our Nation was created and the first British Settlement occurred on your Continent:

"The condition upon which God hath given liberty to man is eternal vigilance; which condition if he break, servitude is at once the consequence of his crime, and the punishment of his guilt."

[With acknowledgement to **Don Bell Reports**, published fortnightly from PO Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, USA. Annual subscription $50.]

See over for startling details of how Big Brother in Brussels plans to further the one worlders' ambition of watching over our every act.

THE E.C. MEMORY MACHINE - A "SIGN OF THE BEAST"?

"BANKS complain that the millions of cheques passing through their facilities and the Federal Reserve System are becoming an impossibility. A new system must be found. And it is actually in use today. It has been named 'The Beast'. This giant computer takes up three floors at the Administration building of the Common Market Confederacy in Brussels. Here is the way it is described.

"This monster is a self-programming unit that has over 100 sensing input sources. Computer experts have been working on a plan to computerise all world trade. This master plan involves a digital numbering system for every human on earth. The computer would assign each citizen of the world a number to use for all buying and selling to avoid the problem of ordinary credit cards. The number would be invisibly laser-tattooed on the forehead or the back of the hand. It would provide a walking credit card system. The number would show up under infra-red scanners to be placed at all check-out counters and places of business. Dr Eldeman suggests that by using three six-digital units the entire world could be assigned a working credit-card number. Other Common Market officials believe that the present chaos and disorder points to the need of a world currency - perhaps an international mark that would do away with all currency and coin. Instead, credit notes would be exchanged through a world bank clearing centre. No member could buy or sell without having an assignment of a digital mark...."

[From the Minneapolis Baptist publication, **Your Dying Dollar.**]

"HITLER'S LABS CREATED AIDS VIRUS," SAYS FORMER STAFF OFFICER

[A scoop left in the dark by Searchlight's faulty beam, but published as a public service by 'The Sun' of Florida, 3rd January, 1989]

SHOCKING evidence just unearthed reveals that the deadly AIDS virus dates back to World War II - and was developed by Hitler's scientists as a secret weapon. Rudolph Kessler, an 80-year-old former German staff officer now living in Brazil confesses he was there when the virus was conceived as one of the Nazis' 'ultimate weapons'.

"It took years to kill and was transmitted only by blood or sexual contact. But Hitler seized on it as the perfect killer. 'We can bring the Americans to their knees! They are all a bunch of sex-crazed degenerates. Their armies are riddled with homosexuals. We only have to release the virus among them and then it will spread like wildfire!'

"The next week a plane was loaded with the virus for America. Since it was wartime, the plane was going to take a secret route across Africa, then on over to South America and sneak up the coast to the U.S. But it was shot down over central Africa. Hitler was outraged, and doubly so when the biological lab was destroyed in a bombing raid the next day. I and everyone else thought that was the end of Virus Q." That is, until AIDS first appeared in Africa some years ago. Now, says Kessler, "It seems like Der Fuhrer's dreams may come true after all."

[Shock! Horror! Gasp! The history books must <u>quickly</u> register this second Holocaust and make sure that <u>every</u> schoolchild in the world is properly informed of this latest known act of Nazi dastardliness!]

THE PICTURE THAT SAYS IT ALL!

[from the International Herald Tribune, 4th March 1989]

Czarek Sokolowski/The Associated Press

Lech Walesa, left, the leader of the Polish labor union Solidarity, meeting the U.S. banker David Rockefeller in Warsaw this week for talks about a foundation the banker helped found to raise pigs.

IN 1981 **Candour** drew attention to the not-unfamiliar paradox of international banking houses being ready to support the imposition of Communist martial law in Poland. The reason? That unfortunate country's inability to repay billions of dollars of debts, many of them owed to David Rockefeller's Chase Manhattan Bank, without the application of intense pressure to the economy by means of low wages, long hours, high taxation and concentration on exports. Since the Polish authorities couldn't satisfy both the bankers and the workers, military rule looked the obvious way of enforcing financial discipline.

Eight years on, with the realisation that hard-line Communism isn't enabling Poland to comply with the leech-like demands of the New York usurers, we find a more 'flexible' strategy being employed. Solidarity leader Lech Walesa is now feted by the Communist regime, with the promise that the illegal union's activities will be legalised. Walesa himself is promising "constructive co-operation" in solving Poland's problems. Indeed, in a remarkably rosy interview in the Moscow-based periodical, **New Times**, this "man of principle" declared, "Let power remain in the hands of the Communists....We are prepared to co-operate constructively with the authorities." And if you believe Mr David Rockefeller was on hand to "raise pigs", you'll believe anything!

A STING IN THE TAIL

ON Saturday 28th January, in Bloomsbury, there was a day-long conference held jointly by **Scorpion**/Iona on the subject "Europe Above Materialism". It had been heralded in sections of the samisdat press as something not to be missed, as the main speaker was to be a self-styled scion of the New Right - one Alain de Benoist - who, it was announced, although comparatively unknown in England, was renowned as a courageous and beloved exponent of the latest revolutionary stance in European politics. The Editor of **Candour** was somewhat surprised to receive a pressing invitation to appear on the same platform as this redoubtable figure. "He is the one you have to worry about," she was told by an interested **Candour** reader; as this appeared to be some sort of challenge, she could not refuse to pick up the gage.

However, when the day came and the conference convened, every imaginable "fringe" and investigators thereof appeared to be present except the advertised star of the show! Alain de Benoist, we were regretfully informed, had a "pressing engagement" elsewhere and therefore could not come. This reminiscently biblical excuse accorded well with the need for conversion; the hungry lions, after all, did not disappoint the Roman holiday makers, and their faith gave the Christians no option.

Thus, in latter-day Bloomsbury, the Christian finding herself in a coldbed of rather moth-eaten paganism, so to speak, also had no option but to re-affirm the efficacy of following Christ Jesus by returning to His Church and re-imposing the mediaeval ban on usury to the credit of pagans and all. That extempore exhortation and the surprisingly muted remarks of M. de Benoist's reluctant "stand-in" were sandwiched between the lengthy readings of two essayists whose performances can only be described in terms of Nigel Lawson's Budget speech: "cautious and dull"! Odin, had he existed, would have been ashamed of them. **Candour**'s Editor so far forgot herself as to sum up the pagan proceedings as "piffle". In retrospect, it still seems fair comment.

PAINT IT BLACK - WITH CHARCOAL?

CHRISTIAN "peace" activists who defaced the walls of the Ministry of Defence in February were told by police, we read in **The Independent** [9/2/89] that it had been decided they should be allowed to make their point so long as they used charcoal. Since it would wash off in the rain, they were not doing any damage. So there you have it. Presumably no one involved with British Action need fear arrest so long as charcoal (or chalk?) is used to improve bank walls with the message that usury can damage your wealth!

Read **POLITICAL SOLDIER II** by DEREK HOLLAND. An invitation for patriotic militants to consecrate themselves to the moral and spiritual elevation if they truly seek victory in our arduous Cause. £2 including postage from BURNING BOOKS, P.O. BOX 258, CROYDON, SURREY.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £6.00 OVERSEAS £8.00 AIRMAIL U.S. $15 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD, England [Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]